

## **REMARKS**

Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 8, 9, 11 and 12 are pending and under consideration in the above-identified application. Claims 3, 6, 7, 10, 13 and 14 were previously cancelled.

In the Office Action of May 28, 2010, claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 8, 9, 11 and 12 were rejected.

With this Amendment, claims 1, 2, 8 and 9 are amended.

### **I. 35 U.S.C. § 103 Anticipation Rejection of Claims**

Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 8, 9, 11 and 12 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Yamada* et al. (US 7,102,282). Applicant respectfully traverses this rejection.

In relevant part, each of the independent claims 1, 2, 8 and 9 recite a light emitting device having a second electrode with a refractive index of 1 or less that acts as a semi-transparent reflection layer.

This is clearly unlike *Yamada* which fails to disclose or even suggest a light emitting device having a second electrode with a refractive index of 1 or less that acts as a semi-transparent reflection layer. Instead, *Yamada* discloses a second electrode made of Indium Tin Oxide that has an refractive index greater than 1. See, U.S. Pat. No. 7,102,282, Col. 7, l. 1-6.

As the Applicant's specification teaches, by providing a light emitting device having a second electrode with a refractive index of 1 or less that acts as a semi-transparent reflection layer, the amount of light reflected can be reduced to 20% or less which improves image quality. See, U.S. Pat. Pub. No. 2004/0156405, Para [0053]-[0056].

Therefore, because *Yamada* fails to disclose or even fairly suggest all of the features of claims 1, 2, 8 and 9, the rejection of claims 1, 2, 8 and 9 cannot stand. Because claims 4, 5, 11 and 12 depend either directly or indirectly from claims 1, 2, 8 and 9, they are allowable for at least the same reasons as claims 1, 2, 8 and 9.

## II. Conclusion

In view of the above amendments and remarks, Applicant submits that all claims are clearly allowable over the cited prior art, and respectfully requests early and favorable notification to that effect.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: August 30, 2010

By: /David R. Metzger/  
David R Metzger, Reg. No. 32,919  
SONNENSCHNEIDER NATH & ROSENTHAL LLP  
P.O. Box 061080  
Wacker Drive Station, Willis Tower  
Chicago, Illinois 60606-1080  
(312) 876-8000